SENIORCRE® • INSTITUTIONAL BRIEF

Integration Readiness

What has to be true before a feed matters: definitions, granted authority, reconciliation rules, and lineage. Written as readiness criteria, not as a connector list.

Institutional brief • September 8, 2026

Status as of September 8, 2026. SeniorCRE has no completed operator pilot and no operator production deployment. Capability statements in this document carry an explicit maturity label: BUILT means shipped in main and exercised in SeniorCRE-operated validation environments with synthetic data, not available to users and not in production; FRAMEWORK means the data model and surfaces exist but the behaviour a reader might assume from the name is not enforced; ROADMAP means not built.

No named third-party system is connected in operator production. Any third-party name that appears is used nominatively to describe the system category an operator already runs, never to assert an integration.

Figures labelled illustrative are worked examples on stated assumptions, not measured results, not benchmarks, and not forecasts. Nothing in this document is a warranty, a delivery date, a clinical or regulatory fitness statement, or investment advice.

Why this brief names no connector

No named third-party system is connected in operator production as of September 8, 2026. Ingestion surfaces and the data model exist (FRAMEWORK); a published connector list would read as an availability claim it cannot support. This brief therefore describes readiness — the conditions under which any feed becomes governable — and names the system categories an operator already runs, not vendors.

The governing question: which number governs?

Ask five leaders in the same operating company what occupancy was last Tuesday and you will often get five defensible answers. The census system counts a resident from move-in. Billing counts from the first billable day. The clinical record counts from the first documented assessment. The pipeline counts a signed agreement. The board deck counts whatever was pasted into it. Each system is internally correct.

The failure is not bad data. It is unassigned authority. Nobody has declared, in writing and in the system, which definition governs a decision, which source may state the number, what happens when sources disagree, and how the answer can be traced afterwards.

Not every disagreement is bad data. Two systems can both be right under different definitions. Three categories are worth separating: a definition conflict, where the same word is measured differently; a timing conflict, where systems are correct as of different moments; and a defect, where one system is simply wrong. Only the third is a data-quality problem. The first two are governance problems, and they do not resolve by buying another integration.

The four readiness criteria

- Definition. For each figure crossing the boundary, the population, clock, inclusions, exclusions, and owner are written down and versioned.
- Authority. One system is granted authority to state that figure for a named period, by a named role.
- Reconciliation. Tolerance, tie-break, escalation owner, and override justification are agreed before the first load, not after the first disagreement.
- Lineage. Every derived figure retains the source rows, rules, and timestamps that produced it.

System categories in scope

Census and CRM, clinical record and medication administration, workforce and payroll, accounting and revenue cycle, and capital reporting. Category names describe the system an operator already runs. They are not integration claims, and a third-party name appearing anywhere in SeniorCRE materials is nominative only.

Sequence, stated as design target

- Definitions and authority agreed for a first governed figure set under a written, gate-based scope. (No fixed deployment duration is published)
- One-direction intake exercised from the granted source into the governed record. (FRAMEWORK; no live operator-production connector claim)
- Reconciliation rules exercised against a closed period, with variance surfaced as record events. (BUILT surfaces, synthetic data)
- Lineage verified by tracing a board figure back to source rows without manual rebuild. (BUILT)

Limits

There is no completed operator pilot and no operator production deployment. HIPAA-aligned controls are implemented; no SOC 2 audit has been started. Any duration above is a design target, not a measured delivery time.

Definition. Authority. Reconciliation. Lineage.

Those four are what make data governable. A definition says what the number means. Source authority says which system may state it. Reconciliation says what happens when systems disagree. Lineage says how the number can be traced back through every hand it passed through.

Govern first. Replace only by choice. Operators can govern the systems they retain or assign selected system-of-record functions to SeniorCRE. The operator chooses the architecture.

When systems disagree, the operator governs.

SeniorCRE is a registered trademark of SeniorCRE, LLC. Operator Authority Chain™ is a trademark of SeniorCRE, LLC. This document is informational. It is not an offer, a warranty, a service commitment, a clinical or regulatory fitness statement, or investment advice.

https://seniorcre.com • Request an Executive Briefing